PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

YEC'D	20	DEC	2005
WIPO			PC

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference XA2017	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
	Internation 1600 - 1 to	444		
International application No. PCT/GB2004/004910	International filing date 19.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.11.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and	IPC		
H04B1/40, H04B7/155, H04L27/18				
Applicant	··			
BAE SYSTEMS PLC et al.			<u>.</u>	
This report is the international pre	liminary examination re	eport, established by this	International Preliminary Examining	
Authority under Article 35 and tran		=		
 This REPORT consists of a total of the control of the	_			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e follows:	
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report				
and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
☐ sheets which supersed	le earlier sheets, but w	hich this Authority consi	ders contain an amendment that goes	
Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
b. (sent to the International Bi	ureau only) a total of (i	ndicate type and number	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a	
Box Relating to Sequence	les related thereto, in t Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable form of the Administrative I	only, as indicated in the Supplemental nstructions).	
4. This report contains indications rel	lating to the following r	tems:		
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin	ion .			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial				
	tions and explanations	s supporting such statem	ent	
☐ Box No. VI Certain documer	nts cited			
	n the international app			
☑ Box No. VIII Certain observat	ions on the internation	al application		
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report				
Sate of Sasmission of the defining		Date of completion of this	stepott	
31.08.2005		16.12.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer	and Bula.	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office			Southern N. E.	
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d		Wolters, R		
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	o opina a	Telephone No. +49 89 23	99-7552	
		L		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

÷

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004910

_			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which in filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	With regard	of to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>	
	Description	n, Pages	
	1-15	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nu	ımbers	
	1-13	as originally filed .	
	Drawings,	Sheets	
	1/4-4/4	as originally filed	
	□ a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	had not be Suppleme	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). The description, pages to claims, Nos. The drawings, sheets/figs The description of the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). The description of the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). The description of the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	4 TF :	tom 4 applies some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004910

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

'n

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

Re Item I

Ù

Basis of the report

The application as filed.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) PCT with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 501 215 (TELEFONICA, S.A) 26 January 2005 (2005-01-26)

D2: WO 03/094417 A (ATHEROS COMMUNICATIONS, INC) 13 November 2003 (2003-11-13)

D3: US-A-5 440 265 (COCHRAN ET AL) 8 August 1995 (1995-08-08)

Claims are new and inventive

- 1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of independent claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- receiving a remotely transmitted signal (see the abstract and §24)
- demodulating a symbol stream (see §24 and §38)
- remodulating a symbol stream (see §24 and §38-§40)

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from this known subject-matter in that frame timing is determined and that synchronisation sequences are detected and located.

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is therefore novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to provide a replicated signal, as close as possible to the originally transmitted signal.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/004910

The solution to this problem proposed in independent claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT for the following reason:

regaining a transmitted signal by using training sequences is known from the prior art (see document D2, the abstract; see also document D3, the abstract and column 5, lines 30-43). The difference in respect to the current application is that the gained information with respect to phase shift values is reused in the remodulation process, in order to make the replica signal resemble the original as close as possible, and as such to improve the efficiency and performance of the system using the transmitted signal.

Claims 2-13 are dependent on independent claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- In claims 2 and 4-8, the phrasings "step f", "step a" and "step e" seem to be referring back to independent claim 1. However, claims 6 and 8 are not dependent on claim 1, leading to an unclarity in dependent claims 6 and 8 (Article 6 PCT).